

[al-Uqud] Submission Acknowledgement

External

Inbox

Ahmad Ajib Ridlwan <journaladmin@unesa.ac.id> Tue, Apr 26, 2022, 5:49 AM

to Muammar, me, Magfirah, Rilan

Hello,

mohammad h holle has submitted the manuscript, "RELATIONSHIP OF FINANCIAL LITERATURE AND INVESTMENT DECISIONS IN THE SHARIA STOCK MARKET: Behavioral Study of the Academic Community of IAIN Ambon" to al-Uqud : Journal of Islamic Economics.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Ahmad Ajib Ridlwan

[al-Uqud] Editor Decision

External

Inbox

admin jurnal <journalmails@unesa.ac.id> Wed, Aug 17, 2022, 8:07 PM

to mohammad, Muammar, me, Magfirah, Rilan

Dear,

mohammad h holle, Muammar W. Maruapey, Binti Nur Asiyah, Magfirah Karanelan, Rilan Abdul Syarif:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to al-Uqud : Journal of Islamic Economics, "RELATIONSHIP OF FINANCIAL LITERATURE AND INVESTMENT DECISIONS IN THE SHARIA STOCK MARKET: Behavioral Study of the Academic Community of IAIN Ambon".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

1. Originality:

Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?

Â

Yes, but needs some improvements according to the comments

2. Relationship to Literature:

Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?

Yes, but needs some improvements according to the comments

3. Methodology:

Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?

Yes, but needs some improvements according to the comments

4. Results:

Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?

Yes, but needs some improvements according to the comments

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society:

Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?

Yes, but needs some improvements according to the comments

6. Quality of Communication:

Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc

Yes, but needs some improvements according to the comments

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

- No

Recommendation

- Major Revision

Confidential Comments to the Editor-in-Chief

This article needs a lot of improvement before publishing

Comments to the Author

Please pay attention to the comments for the improvement of this article, thank you

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

1. Originality:

Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?

Â

No

2. Relationship to Literature:

Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?

No

3. Methodology:

Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?

No

4. Results:

Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?

No

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society:

Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?

No

6. Quality of Communication:

Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc

No

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

- Yes

Recommendation

- Major Revision

Confidential Comments to the Editor-in-Chief

1. The title is the influence of financial literacy on investment decisions in academic circles
2. Contents follow the title
3. The method is not clear, and the data collection techniques, and what is analytical tools, and titles use in behavioral studies, but it is not discussed at all.
4. The tabulation of the data does not exist
5. There is no statistical test

Comments to the Author

Overall read the comments on the manuscripts

Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

1. Originality:

Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?

Â

yes it does

2. Relationship to Literature:

Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?

literature must be developed relating to the topic of study

3. Methodology:

Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?

the methodology is unclear, please explain more about the method, population of the study, and the sample of the study, including the size of the samples.

4. Results:

Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?

to provide the result effectively, please provide the result on the table, not only on the description. giving a more deep analysis will improve the quality of the paper. also, provide the research implication of this study.

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society:

Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?

the implication of the research is unclear, please provide it comprehensively.

6. Quality of Communication:

Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc

need proofreading.

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

- Yes

Recommendation

- Major Revision

Confidential Comments to the Editor-in-Chief

Thanks for selecting me as a reviewer.

Comments to the Author

it is glad to read your paper, however, the paper needs improvement, thus, elaborating more on the result and discussion is necessary.

[al-Uqud] Editor Decision

External

Inbox

admin jurnal <journalmails@unesa.ac.id> Wed, Aug 24, 2022, 11:21 AM

to mohammad, Muammar, me, Magfirah, Rilan

Dear,

mohammad h holle, Muammar W. Maruapey, Binti Nur Asiyah, Magfirah Karanelan, Rilan Abdul Syarif:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to al-Uqud : Journal of Islamic Economics, "RELATIONSHIP OF FINANCIAL LITERATURE AND INVESTMENT DECISIONS IN THE SHARIA STOCK MARKET: Behavioral Study of the Academic Community of IAIN Ambon".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

1. Originality:

Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?

Â

Yes, but needs some improvements according to the comments

2. Relationship to Literature:

Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?

Yes, but needs some improvements according to the comments

3. Methodology:

Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?

Yes, but needs some improvements according to the comments

4. Results:

Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?

Yes, but needs some improvements according to the comments

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society:

Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?

Yes, but needs some improvements according to the comments

6. Quality of Communication:

Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc

Yes, but needs some improvements according to the comments

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

- No

Recommendation

- Major Revision

Confidential Comments to the Editor-in-Chief

This article needs a lot of improvement before publishing

Comments to the Author

Please pay attention to the comments for the improvement of this article, thank you

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

1. Originality:

Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?

Â

No

2. Relationship to Literature:

Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?

No

3. Methodology:

Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?

No

4. Results:

Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?

No

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society:

Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?

No

6. Quality of Communication:

Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc

No

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

- Yes

Recommendation

- Major Revision

Confidential Comments to the Editor-in-Chief

1. The title is the influence of financial literacy on investment decisions in academic circles
2. Contents follow the title
3. The method is not clear, and the data collection techniques, and what is analytical tools, and titles use in behavioral studies, but it is not discussed at all.
4. The tabulation of the data does not exist
5. There is no statistical test

Comments to the Author

Overall read the comments on the manuscripts

Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

1. Originality:

Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?

Â

yes it does

2. Relationship to Literature:

Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?

literature must be developed relating to the topic of study

3. Methodology:

Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?

the methodology is unclear, please explain more about the method, population of the study, and the sample of the study, including the size of the samples.

4. Results:

Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?

to provide the result effectively, please provide the result on the table, not only on the description. giving a more deep analysis will improve the quality of the paper. also, provide the research implication of this study.

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society:

Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?

the implication of the research is unclear, please provide it comprehensively.

6. Quality of Communication:

Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc

need proofreading.

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

- Yes

Recommendation

- Major Revision

Confidential Comments to the Editor-in-Chief

Thanks for selecting me as a reviewer.

Comments to the Author

it is glad to read your paper, however, the paper needs improvement, thus, elaborating more on the result and discussion is necessary.

Reviewer D:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

1. Originality:

Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?

Â

It was not stated

2. Relationship to Literature:

Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?

Quite

3. Methodology:

Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?

This research design is not presented correctly. How did he observe it, how did he collect the data, what step he takes to analyze the data, what technique you employ, and more

4. Results:

Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?

to determine the impact of Islamic financial literacy on the academic community of IAIN Ambon on the decision to invest in the Islamic capital market is the research purpose but nowhere in the findings!

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society:

Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?

not identified

6. Quality of Communication:

Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc

Need to improve

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

- Yes

Recommendation

- Major Revision

Confidential Comments to the Editor-in-Chief

refer to the paper

Comme

nts to the Author

refer to the paper

3 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail

[al-Uqud] Editor Decision

External

Inbox

admin jurnal <journalmails@unesa.ac.id> Wed, Oct 19, 2022, 11:54 AM

to mohammad, Muammar, me, Magfirah, Rilan

Dear,

mohammad h holle, Muammar W. Maruapey, Binti Nur Asiyah, Magfirah Karanelan, Rilan Abdul Syarif:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to al-Uqud : Journal of Islamic Economics, "RELATIONSHIP OF FINANCIAL LITERATURE AND INVESTMENT DECISIONS IN THE SHARIA STOCK MARKET: Behavioral Study of the Academic Community of IAIN Ambon".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

Best Regard,

Ahmad Ajib Ridlwan

Editor